

What Else Can the Seismic Wavefield Tell Us?



Guest: R. Gerhard Pratt

University of Western Ontario

Presented in conjunction with the

Annual Project Review Meeting

Center for Wave Phenomena

Colorado School of Mines, Golden, Colorado

www.cwp.mines.edu/meetings.html

Abstract

Traditional seismic imaging as widely practiced in the oil and gas industry, conceptually treats the seismic velocity model as if it were a separate entity from the seismic reflectivity image. A whole industry has been constructed around this separation, and for good cause: the velocity model is a very smooth, low resolution representation required for successful imaging, while the final reflectivity image contains the detailed, structural images that interpreters need most. However, for the naïve geophysicist learning about imaging for the first time, this separation seems strange – aren't the velocity model and the reflectivity just two different ways of looking at the same earth?

In order to answer the question posed in the title, it is helpful abandon the distinction between the model, and the image (perhaps, our naïve geophysicist will be happier too). Instead, at any given point we only have the model, which we progressively try to improve until it finally it completely accounts for our seismic data; in an ideal world we would be able to use the model to predict every minor wiggle on every trace from every shot gather in the survey. Generally speaking, the goal of seismic waveform inverse methods is to obtain and study the class of models that fully explain the data. If we could arrive at such a state, the seismic waveforms would be able to tell us little else, and the model would combine aspects of both the velocity model and the reflectivity image. Crucially, this approach includes parts of the seismic waveform that are not used in conventional processing, such as wide angle reflections and refractions (which carry very useful information).

Of course, it is one thing to imagine we could find a model that completely accounts for all our seismic waveforms, but quite another thing to accomplish this in practice. First and foremost, we need an accurate, reliable and reasonably fast forward method to predict the waveforms for all the trial models along the way. Simulating the wave equation by numerical finite differences is a well known method for doing this, but tricks are needed to overcome the major computational expenses involved. Next on our list of needs is a suitable inverse method for updating the model, in order to iteratively

improve the data fit. There are many mathematical possibilities, all of them fraught with other difficulties. Finally, we need to bring to the problem our experience in methods of preprocessing the data, and postprocessing the images.

This tutorial will deal with several aspects of the waveform inversion problem: the philosophical goals, the mathematical techniques, the engineering of the methods to allow them to provide useful results, and most importantly, a suite of examples demonstrating the potential of using waveform inversion to solve geological imaging problems in a wide range of applications. Ultimately, surveys should be specifically designed for this waveform approach, and it is one of the goals of the tutorial to encourage an awareness of the survey design principles that may lead to further successes.

Gerard Pratt is currently Professor of Geophysics and Chair of the Department of Earth Sciences at the University of Western Ontario. Gerard has been actively researching methods in seismic wave modelling and imaging throughout his academic career. A key development was the implementation of frequency-domain techniques, enabling large scale forward and inverse modelling of exploration seismic data. Together with his students, he has been able to use these methods in imaging structures in a wide range of applications, from large scale wide-angle deep crustal profiling, to exploration scale imaging, to small scale site investigations. Most recently he has begun using these techniques for imaging breast cancers in medical ultrasound tomography. His work has been published in over 40 peer-reviewed articles, and over 100 conference presentations at the CSEG, the SEG, the EAGE and many other meetings.

Gerhard's 2004 paper with Laurent Sirgue, "Efficient waveform inversion and imaging: a strategy for selecting temporal frequencies" received an honourable mention for best paper in GEOPHYSICS. His 2008 CSEG Distinguished Lecture tour received honourable mention for best technical luncheon presentation from the CSEG. In 2009 he was presented with the Conrad Schlumberger Award by the EAGE, for "an outstanding contribution over a period of time to the scientific and technical advancement of the geosciences."